

S3 Peer review in Heraklion









Inger Midtkandal

Heraklion, 26-27th of September 2013





Peer Review workshops

Design and implementation of a RIS3 is a continuous process:

- Need to adapt and update the strategy
- Transnational learning learning from each other and together
- Critical friends and critical buddies
- Peer-review workshops:
 - 1° Workshop in Seville (Jan 2012)
 - 2° Workshop in Seville (May 2012)
 - 3° Workshop in Ponta Delgada (June 2012)
 - 4° Workshop in Pisa (Sept 2012)
 - 5° Workshop in Strasbourg (Dec 2012)
 - 6° Workshop in Palma de Mallorca (Feb 2013)
 - 7° Workshop in Brno (March 2013)
 - 8° Workshop in Vaasa (May 2013)
 - 9° Workshop in Budapest (June 2013)
 - 10° Workshop in Faro (July 2013)
 - 11° Workshop in Crete (Sept2013)
 - 12° Workshop in Potsdam (Nov2013)





5 regions being peer reviewed





What kind of questions do they ask you as their critical friends?

How to mobilise stakeholders' active contribution? How to overcome the risk of a lock in situation when selecting a specific domain? What indicators to use?

Stakeholderinvolvement in the implementation of the strategies?

How can S3 be implemented in a crisis environment?

How to enhance local Science Technology Innovation (STI) when the global and national STI is very strong?

What approaches should be applied for setting the RIS3 priorities?

Integration of horizontal priorities with vertical priorities?

How to stimulate the interregional cooperation within RIS3?

What pre-conditions are necessary for S3 entrepreneurial activity to take place?

What kind of policies and framework conditions are necessary to strengthen the links within Triple Helix?

Policy Integration: Digital Knowledge Society with R+DI?





What happens in the peer review session?

Presentation

Questions to the region

Discussions at tables of 6-10 participants





Identification of results from the discussions Reflections from the region under review



10-20 min	Table discussion - Round 1 - What are the actual problems or issues (in the country)?
10-20 min	Table discussion - Round 2 - Policy suggestions for how this/these issue(s) can be addressed
10-20 min	Table discussions – Round 3 – What are the relevant lessons to take home for the different regions/countries represented on the table? Begin with 2-3 minutes, where every participant writes down individual notes for the discussion

Main objectives – expected outcome:

- 1. Policy suggestions for the regions, supported by the identification of the actual problems or issues
- 2. Collection of jointly learnt lessons



Commiss

Lessons learned

- For MS under review
 - Part of the feedback in the closing session
 - Please fill in and deliver to S3P staff after closing session
- For Critical friends
 - For you to keep

WORKSHOP RESULTS AND ACTION POINTS (Critical Priends)

22 Flatform Four Review Workshop

Dear Colleague,

Thank you once again for participating in the Peer Review Workshop!

In this form, we kindly ask you to summaries for yourself the lessons learned that you will take home from this workshop and what actions points you will carry out as a result of this peer review.

We would recommend that you fill in this form during (or immediately after) the peer review session and/or the cleaning session on the day 2. The form is for you to better structure your thoughts and the implications of the lessons you bring home after the workshop. The form is for you to keep, you do not need to give it to any of the workshop organizers.

We hope the workshop has been fruitful for you!

The S3 Platform Team

Your name:

Country / Region(s) being peer reviewed:

I. LESSONS LEARN

What see the lessons learned from this Peer Review Workshop and how will you follow up when you
are back home? Please use the space below to write down 3 specific action points you and your
colleagues will carry out as a result of this peer review.

Lesson Leaves 1:
New Action 1:
Regionalble body: 1:
Temphana for realization 1:
Timeframe for realization 1:

Lemm Lemm 2:	
Sum-Action2:	
Regondble body 2:	
Regionalble body 2: Thighens for realization 2:	
Interpolate for relatization 2:	

Lezzen Learne 3
Year Action 3:
Sour Action 2: Responsible body 2: Timeframe for resilienton 2:
Timefrance for realization 3:



Assessment form

- Based on Annex III of the RIS 3 guide
 - Guidance of Expert assessment of RIS3
- Offered as self-assessment for MS under review
- Informal assessment by some critical friends
- Informal assessment by participating experts
- Inform the feedback report

RISS ASSESSMENT FORM

SSP June 201

Dear Colleague, Peer or Expert,

This questionnaire is built around a number of areas which are likely to be examined in the evaluation of the regional hazional RIS3. The questions asked are based on the Guidance for Expert Assessment which you find in the Annex III of the RIS 3 Guide.

In relation to the Peer Review Session in the S3 Platform Peer Review workshops, we kindly as the representatives of the country / region undergoing peer review to fill in the questionnaire as a selfassessment prior to the peer review workshop and peers and expert to fill in the form during or after the peer review session you have been invited to attend.

We realise that not all questions in the questionnaire can be answered based on the presentation on the day, so please use the 'not applicable/no info available' option when you do not have the needed information.

This evaluation is an important pilot exercise that will allow you to experience first-hand an evaluation process similar to the one that will be applied to assess your region "RIS3 at a later stage. On the other hand, your feedback or self-assessment will contribute to the informal feedback which will be provided to the region under peer review.

We would like to thank you once again for sharing your expertise and your kind interest in our peer review

The S3 Platform Team

Your name:

Your country / region:

Country / region reviewed:

□ I have filled in this form as a self-assessment prior to the workshop where my country / region has been peer reviewed.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

Please indicate the extent to which you agree with the statements below.

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Not appl/ no info available
The strategy has been developed through a broadly-based process of direct stakeholder involvement.		_	_	_		_
This stakeholder engagement process is adequately described in the strategy.	0	_		0	_	
There is an identified leader of the RISS process in this region.		_				
In order to ensure that all stakeholders own and share the strategy do governance schemes allow for collaborative leadership with no fixed hierarchies and more flexible mechanisms.						
The governance apactuse, Japas, a dedicated Steering Group/Knowledge Leadership Group, a Management Team, Working groups, and flagship projects.		0	_	_		0
The priority-actting in the strategy based on an identification of market opportunities/economic potential informed by an entrepreneurial search/discovery process.		0	0		0	0
	process of direct stakeholder involvement. This stakeholder engagement process is adequately described in the strategy. There is an identified lender of the R153 process in this region. In order to ensure that all stakeholders own and share the strategy do governance schemes allow for collaborative leadership with no fixed hierarchies and more fierable mechanisms. The governance appeting Appe, a dedicated Streeting Group (Knowledge Leadership Group, a Management Lean, Working groups, and flagship projects. The priority-setting in the strategy based on an identification of market opportunities fecome one petential	Agree The strategy has been developed through a broadly-based process of direct stakeholder involvement. This stakeholder engagement process is adequately described in the strategy. There is an identified leader of the RISS process in this region. In order to ensure that all stakeholders own and share the strategy do governance schemes allow for collaborative leadership with no fixed hierarchies and more fiscable mechanisms. The governance apagings have a dedicated Steering Croup/Knowledge Leadership Group, a Management Team, Working groups, and flagship projects. The priority-acting in the strategy based on an identification of market opportunities (recomming potential)	The strategy has been developed through a broadly-based process of direct stakeholder involvement. This stakeholder engagement process is adequately described in the strategy. There is an identified leader of the RISS process in this region. In order to ensure that all stakeholders own and share the strategy de governance schemes allow for collaborative leadership with no fixed hierarchies and more flexible mechanisms. The governance appetupe, page, a dedicated Sterning Group (Knowledge Leadership Group, a Management Team, Working groups, and flagship projects. The priority-esting in the strategy based on an identification of maket appearance in potential	The strategy has been developed through a broadly-based process of direct stakeholder involvement. This stakeholder engagement process is adequately described in the strategy. There is an identified leader of the RISS process in this region. In order to ensure that all stakeholders own and share the strategy de governance schemes allow for collaborative leadership with no fixed hierarchies and more flexible mechanisms. The governance appetups, page, a dedicated Sterning Group (Knowledge Leadership Group, a Management Team, Working groups, and flagship projects. The priority-cetting in the strategy based on an identification of maket appearance in potential	The strategy has been developed through a broadly-based process of direct stakeholder involvement. This stakeholder engagement process is adequately described in the strategy. There is an identified leader of the R133 process in this region. In order to ensure that all stakeholders own and share the strategy do governance schemes allow for collaborative leadership with no fixed hierarchies and more flexible mechanisms. The governance appetup, paying a dedicated Steering Croup (Knowledge Leadership Group, a Management Team, Working groups, and flagship projects. The priority-acting is the strategy based on an indentification of maket opportunities leven men to tential on the strategy based on an indentification of maket opportunities leven men to tential on the strategy based on an indentification of maket opportunities leven men to potential	The strategy has been developed through a broadly-based process of direct stakeholder involvement. This stakeholder engagement process is adequately described in the strategy. There is an identified leader of the R153 process in this region. In order to ensure that all stakeholders own and share the strategy do governance schemes allow for collaborative leadership with no fixed hierarchies and more fixable mechanisms. The governance appending frough, a Management Team, Working groups, and flagship projects. The priority-acting in the strategy based on an identification of maket opportunities (non-mic potential)

eace fill in this guestionnaine, and return, lt to a member of the SS Platform, team

Para 1



Environment enabling mutual learning

As a result of literature review*, we have found a list of 15 possible factors facilitating environment supporting mutual learning.

These factors generally fall into the following categories:

- Value sharing;
- Level of commitment;
- Mutual trust;
- Credibility;
- Other factors.

^{*}Some key references: (Huggins, 2009; Pagani, 2002).





What we expect from you... ©

- Be engaged!
- Share your experience you hold a piece of the mutual learning!
 - No oberservers!
- Be critical... but friendly!
- Be open listen and be curious!
- Explore your peers overcome your challenges together!
- Mix do not sit with your colleagues or nationals!
- Network....!



Have nice discussions!



http://s3platform.jrc.ec.europa.eu

JRC-IPTS-S3PLATFORM@ec.europa.eu

JRC-IPTS-S3EVENTS@ec.europa.eu