Philip McCann
University of Groningen

Special Adviser to Johannes Hahn, EU Commissioner for Regional Policy

- Proposed Regulations thematic objectives, conditionalities, results indicators, partnership contract, monitoring, multi-level governance, performance reserve, joint action plans, integrated territorial plans, increasing urban emphasis etc
- CSF Common Strategic Framework
- ECCP European Code of Conduct on Partnership
- Smart Specialisation
- Europe 2020: smart, sustainable and inclusive growth

Proposed General Regulations for Cohesion Policy

Thematic Ex Ante Conditionalities

Thematic Objectives	Ex Ante Conditionality	Ex Ante Criteria for Fulfilment
1. Strengthening research,	1.1. Research and innovation:	A national or regional research
Technological development and	The existence of a national or	and innovation strategy for <i>smart</i>
innovation (R&D target)	regional research and innovation	specialisation is in place that:
(referred to in Article 9(1))	strategy for smart specialisation	– is based on a SWOT analysis to
	in line with the National Reform	concentrate resources on a limited
	Program, to leverage private	set of research and innovation
	research and innovation	priorities;
	expenditure, which complies with	 outlines measures to stimulate
	the features of well-performing	private RTD investment;
	national or regional research and	– contains a monitoring and
	innovation systems.	review system.
		– A Member State has adopted a
		framework outlining available
		budgetary resources for
		research and innovation;
		– A Member State has adopted a
		multi-annual plan for budgeting
		and prioritization of
		investments linked to EU
		priorities (European Strategy
		Forum on Research
		3

- A smart specialisation approach to regional policy should be about promoting the generation, exploitation, and dissemination of local ideas and knowledge
- Maximising both intra- and inter-regional knowledge spillovers in the relevant scale domains (embeddedness + relatedness)

- Newness, renewal, transformation, novelty and niches
- All actors involved competences and capabilities – building new linkages, exchanges, participation, cooperation and spillovers
- Develop a local vision on the basis of what works locally
- Governance experimentalism + innovation
- Iterative approach feedback, monitoring, evaluation and learning
- 'Self discovery' (Hausman and Rodrik 2004)

- Prioritisation and concentration
- Good matching, good fit, and potential
- Analysis of missing links and bottlenecks
- Explicitly takes account of the region's strengths, history, skills profile
- Focuses on issues of coordination and governance
- Data baselines
- Risks and responsibilities
- Close alignment with the Barca (2009) report

General Ex Ante Conditionalities

Area	Ex Ante Conditionality	Ex Ante Criteria for Filfilment
Statistical systems and result	The existence of a statistical	A multi-annual plan for timely
indicators	system necessary to undertake	collection and aggregation of data
	evaluations to assess the	is in place
	effectiveness and impact of the	that includes:
	programmes.	 the identification of sources and
		mechanisms to ensure statistical
	The existence of an effective	validation;
	system of result indicators	 arrangements for publication
	necessary to monitor progress	and public availability.
	towards results and to undertake	 an effective system of results
	impact evaluation.	indicators including:
		– the selection of <i>result</i>
		<i>indicators</i> for each programme
		providing information on those
		aspects of the well-being and
		progress of people that motivate
		policy actions financed by the
		programme;
		– the establishment of <i>targets</i> for
		these indicators;
		– the respect for each indicator of
		the following requisites:
		robustness and statistical
		validation, clarity of normative
		interpretation, responsiveness to
		policy, timely collection and
		public availability of
		data;
		 adequate procedures in place to
		ensure that all operations
		financed by the programme adopt
		an <i>effective system of indicators</i> .

- Change in the result indicator = contribution of intervention + contribution of other factors.
- Impact is the change that can be credibly attributed to an intervention; also called effect or contribution. Only evaluation can assess impact.
- DGRegio guidance for reference (see in particular page 6).
- http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/sources/doco ffic/2014/working/wd 2014 en.pdf

- "Outcome Indicators and Targets Towards a Performance Oriented EU Cohesion Policy," and complementary Notes: "Meeting climate change and energy objectives" and "Improving the conditions for innovation, research and development", (Fabrizio Barca and Philip McCann), 2011, DGRegio Website. Available at:
- http://ec.europa.eu/regional_policy/sources/docg ener/evaluation/performance_en.htm

- Use of results/outcome indicators is designed to change behaviour
- This is not because the results/outcomes are known in advance but in order to drive the policy process correctly (Rodrik 2004)
- Aim to make intentions explicit, foster prioritisation and concentration
- Help steer and adjust policy as necessary
- Foster policy-learning and policy-innovation

- Inputs → Outputs → Results/Outcomes
- The result/outcome can be a short- and/or a long-term one
- In some cases a particular phenomenon will be an output whereas in other cases it will be a result/outcome
- It is a question of intention this drives the thematic and policy prioritisation and the specific policy design
- Impact refers to the contribution of policy actions to achieving the intended result/outcome

- Outputs are measurable policy actions whose intended task is to produce results/outcomes
- Results/outcomes are the specific dimension of the wellbeing and progress of people (in their capacity of consumers, workers, entrepreneurs, savers, family or community members, etc.) that motivates policy action, - i.e. that is expected to be modified by the interventions designed and implemented by a policy
- Results/outcomes are NOT a change for the supported entities only: they are a change for a territory (region), a sector, a target group of people

12

- Once a desired result/outcome has been chosen, it must be represented by appropriate measures.
- This can be done by selecting one or more appropriate results/outcome indicators, i.e. variables that provide information on some specific aspects of the result/outcome that lend themselves to be measured
- Also short-term, medium and long-term results indicators may be different → progression

- Distinguish results/outcomes from outputs
- Measurement of progress towards results/outcome targets
- Identify how and when different data are to be collected, collated and reported
- Data can be at the project level and at the programme level – all projects should be able to generate results/outcome data
- Evaluation needed to assess contribution of project/programme results/outcomes to change at regional/sectoral level

 The goal is neither to construct an encompassing indicator of well-being, sustainability or progress, nor to set a "dashboard of indicators" for the EU as a whole in order to assess progress in a comparative way, nor to set a "menu of indicators" from which Member States can choose. It is rather to build a system whereby each Member State and Region chooses, according to agreed general principles, those indicators that are most suitable to track the progress of its own cohesion policy programmes towards the outcomes/performances they aim to achieve, and commits to annually report about changes in these indicators.

- Results/outcome indicators selected by Member States should be:
 - reasonable: capturing the essence of an outcome according to a reasonable argument about which features of the outcome they can and cannot represent;
 - normative: having a clear and accepted normative interpretation (i.e. there must be agreement that a movement in a particular direction or within a certain range is a favourable or an unfavourable result);
 - **robust**: reliable, statistically and analytically validated, and, as far as practicable, complying with internationally recognised standards and methodologies;
 - responsive to policy: linked in as direct way as possible to the policy interventions for whose assessment they are used, while not being subject to manipulation;
 - feasible: built, as far as practicable, on available underlying data, their measurement not imposing too large a burden on Member States, on enterprises, nor on the citizens;
- **debatable:** timely available to a wide public, with room being built for public debate and for their own revision when needed and motivated

- Policy makers must decide the priority (Stiglitz-Sen-Fitoussi 2009) → smart specialisation
- A policy might also be aimed at more than one outcome, i.e. at different dimensions of wellbeing and progress.
- For example, an urban-regeneration plan might at the same time be aimed at improving innovation, reducing/not aggravating access to work, improving air quality

- The targets for results/outcome indicators can be either quantitative or qualitative
- Data for Indicators can be generated through surveys based or case-studies
- Targets for indicators can be quantitative: metrics or aggregated behavioural indicators
- Qualitative targets performance story reporting and other techniques
- Most powerful 'bags' of indicators use a combination of a small number of qualitative and quantitative targets

- Monitoring, feedback and evaluation are critical for learning
- Evaluation with its range of quantitative and qualitative techniques – is what helps us to identify the impact of a policy – not the indicators
- Evaluation involves considering all of the available evidence regarding the policy process, systems and intended objectives – and also unintended implications See: *Panorama*, Spring 2012, "Targeting Results: Fine Tuning Cohesion Policy"

- Need to ensure that Member States report about progress of results indicators
- Evaluations to assess policy impact (for each priority at least once during programming period)
- Need to publicise the results and share experiences - monitoring and learning
- Promote policy-learning via shared experiences
- Need to foster an awareness of unintended policy consequences – both positive and negative
- Helps identify previously unknown linkages between phenomena or policies

- Principles of smart specialisation are applicable to all dimensions of Europe2020 and to all regions
- An integrated place-based approach is multidimensional, tailored to place-specific features and outcomes
- Innovation strategy knowledge and knowledge-application dimensions
- Environmental and energy dimensions
- Social and territorial inclusion dimensions

- Smart specialisation entrepreneurship and innovation - emphasises strategic and specialised diversification based on competences and capabilities
 - a excellent tool for place-based policy
 - promotes clear self-awareness of the key bottlenecks and missing links
 - powerful lens through which to ensure thematic prioritisation and concentration
 - monitoring, evaluating, steering
 - engagement and institutional learning