

Step 3 – Visioning desirable future system components and configurations (transition "endpoints")

Outcomes/findings of this step:

- An imagined, highly-aggregated, mapping of the system (actors, tasks/functions, relations, framework conditions) as it should be for the headline target (or other indicator of current political ambitions) to seem achievable. This should be presented diagrammatically in the same number of diagrams of each functional sub-system (up to 4) as in step 2 and additionally include a summative diagram with the key relationships/interdependencies between actors across sub-systems (4-5 pages).
- If borne by the analysis, more (or less) expansive boundaries (i.e. further revisions to the list/table developed as part of step 1 and revised in step 2), in view of inputs received and a more (or less) ambitious headline target than the one you proposed as part of step 1 (1-2 pages).

Step 3 – Visioning desirable future system components and configurations (transition "endpoints")

a. Are there already elements of a vision for the territory codified in strategic documents by state actors or by other stakeholders? What is their current scope and degree of ambition?

b. How do various stakeholder constituencies envision the territory's future? What do they see as "low-hanging fruit" for quick gains, and what kind of other, more radical, connections and reconfigurations can they imagine if there was broader support?

Step 3 – Visioning desirable future system components and configurations (transition "endpoints")

c. Are there any areas in which the underlying science and technology is uncertain and the technological obstacles unlikely to be lifted within predictable timeframes?

d. Are there any (actually or potentially) complementary themes to the ones examined as part of Step 2? Could some outputs of one production system become inputs for another (as in e.g. the case of agricultural waste and fertiliser production)?

Step 3 – Visioning desirable future system components and configurations (transition "endpoints")

- *d. Are there any (actually or potentially) complementary themes to the ones examined as part of Step 2? Could some outputs of one production system become inputs for another (as in e.g. the case of agricultural waste and fertiliser production)?*

Step 3 – Visioning desirable future system components and configurations (transition "endpoints")

Outcomes/findings of this step:

- An imagined, highly-aggregated, mapping of the system (actors, tasks/functions, relations, framework conditions) as it should be for the headline target (or other indicator of current political ambitions) to seem achievable. This should be presented diagrammatically in the same number of diagrams of each functional sub-system (up to 4) as in step 2 and additionally include a summative diagram with the key relationships/interdependencies between actors across sub-systems (4-5 pages).
- If borne by the analysis, more (or less) expansive boundaries (i.e. further revisions to the list/table developed as part of step 1 and revised in step 2), in view of inputs received and a more (or less) ambitious headline target than the one you proposed as part of step 1 (1-2 pages).