Cooperation in the Danube macro-region in aligning instruments for smart specialisation

Matthias Woiwode von Gilardi & Ulrike Kunze



Background and rationale

Observation

Coordination and **alignment** of funding in the Danube Region are high on the political agenda



Assumption

Smart specialisation strategies (S3) can contribute to a **better integration** of the DR – implementing the EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)



Impetus

Explore collaboration in S3 in the DR towards aligning financial instruments; exploit synergies



Task

A targeted **analysis of good practice** examples for coordination of funding mechanisms – linked to S3 (concept and priorities)



State of play

Four most frequent common R&I priorities in the Danube region:

- Key enabling technologies,
- Sustainable innovation,
- Digital transformation, and
- Public health & security.

Great interest in enlargement countries (Western Balkans) in S3: to gain experience in designing effective policies to finance R&D, innovation, infrastructure and environmental projects.



S3 policy objective "eco innovation" in the Danube region

Joint priority-setting is a key component for undertaking joint research actions; coherence of developing S3 with **running initiatives**, on the basis of which common topics have been identified



R&I priorities in the Danube region: relevance vis-à-vis importance

Rank	R&I priority (total 72)	Frequency (entries)	Countries (13) + regions (2)*	
1	Key enabling technologies	58	13	
2	Sustainable innovation	49	14	
3	Digital transformation	43	13	
4	Public health & security	40	13	4
	,			Į

^{* 8} EU-MS, 5 non-EU (BA, MD, ME, RS, UA), DE-regions Bayern, Baden-Württemberg

Rank	ESIF ** Thematic objectives	M EUR ***	
1	TO7: transport and network infrastructure	20,712	
2	MULTI: Multi-thematic objective	17,731	
3	TO6: Environment and resource efficiency	12,894	
4	TO1: RTDI	9,092	
5	TO9: Social inclusion, combating poverty	7,173	
7	TO4: low-carbon economy	6,858	
11	TO2: ICT	2,854	

Source: JRC eye@RIS; ESIF Viewer



^{** 9} MS: AT, BG, CZ, DE-Bayern, DE-Baden-Württemberg, HR, HU, RO, SI, SK

^{**} Total (planned)103,372 M EUR

Existing MRS initiatives – state of play

Country	Туре	Networks (participation)	Networks (coordination)	Organisations (participation)	Calls	Projects
Austria	EU	173	16	32	292	327
Bosnia and Herze- govina	Associate	6	0	7	11	10
Bulgaria	EU	36	0	20	40	13
Croatia	EU	25	0	14	32	11
Czech Republic	EU	63	0	32	80	178
Germany*	EU	237	63	81	386	1.328
Hungary	EU	77	0	39	98	62
Moldova	Associate	10	0	5	7	1
Montenegro	Associate	3	0	3	3	1
Romania	EU	107	1	29	183	141
Serbia	Associate	9	0	5	11	5
Slovakia	EU	48	0	19	95	58
Slovenia	EU	91	0	20	122	134
Ukraine	Associate	6	0	7	2	5
EU15 ²²		410	79	113	678	1.655
EU13 ²³		465		173	650	597
Associate coun- tries ²⁴		34	0	27	34	22

^{*} DE = the whole country



Source: ERA-LEARN database

Examples for macro-regional coordination initiatives in support of R&I collaboration

Danube Funding Coordination Network (DFCN)



EUREKA Danube calls 2015 and 2017



German BMBF Danube calls 2013 and 2015



 Austrian multilateral scientific and technological cooperation in the Danube Region (BMWFW)



SEE ERA.NET and SEE-ERA:NET (PLUS), and



• JPI Urban Europe/EXPAND.





Danube R&I priorities vis-à-vis calls launched

Rank	R&I priority (total 72)	Frequency (entries)	Countries (13) + regions (2)*	Targeted calls	Generic EUSDR calls
1	Key enabling technologies	58	13	BMBF	EUREKA
2	Sustainable innovation	49	14	BMBF, SEE-ERA.Net (plus)	EUREKA
3	Digital transformation	43	13	BMBF, SEE-ERA.NET (Plus)	-
4	Public health & security	40	13	BMBF	EUREKA

*8 EU-MS, 5 non-EU (BiH, MD, ME, RS, UA), DE-regions Bayern, Baden-Württemberg



Preliminary aggregate conclusions: What works? What doesn't?

- Variable geometry works, but: two key barriers to keep up momentum for alignment and joint funding: availability of R&I funding; varied administration systems/ governance cultures
- 2. Alignment of funding works best when regarded **strategically** (long-term, vision-based, mission-oriented) vs. **occasional / ad-hoc cooperation**
- 3. Using **proven management mechanisms** (EUREKA; lead-agency principle) is a success factor
- 4. low-key horizontal initiatives (capacity-building, mobility, networking...) keep cooperation channels open and flexible; however, for advanced joint S3 initiatives, thematically targeted initiatives are yet to be increased



Preliminary recommendations: alignment and synergies between instruments

- 1. Better match S3 priorities with funding! (relevance with importance)
- 2. Better match supply (funding) with demand (research capabilities)
- 3. Advance **from capacity-building** towards a continuous, strategic, mission-oriented **R&I funding** system, managed based on proven models:
 - Advance from variable geometry at stakeholder level to variable geometry at project level (research-performing activities)
 - Open-up public-public negotiations on aligned funding opportunities towards an EDP for RIS3 in the Danube Region: closer cooperation and partnership between academia and industry is needed → business innovation to leverage public funds (3% goal)
- 4. Actively promote the development of **DR flagship projects** in common S3 domains
- 5. At the same time: continue funding horizontal R&I cooperation (lower access threshold, leverage for commitment) next to joint actions in common S3 priorities

Preliminary recommendations: role of S3 hubs



- S3 hub as a (macro-)regional arena to aid entrepreneurial discovery processes (EDP)
- 2. S3 hub as a facilitator: promote an incremental approach, building capacity of the partners to be integrated step-by-step in joint funding, e.g. from bilateral to ERA-Net-type to Eurostars-like joint funding schemes (→ institutional commitment and ownership; MoU's etc.)
- 3. S3 hub as an information broker: e.g. on ESIF and IPA rules to be implemented more transnationally (GPR Art. 70)
- 4. S3 hub as an intelligence provider: e.g. translating S3 platform information into DR-specific intelligence (matching of S3 (sub!)priorities...)
- 5. S3 hub as an institutionalised entity (e.g. secretariat) with clear role and mandate, owned by (all) DR countries



Thanks for listening!

Contact:

German Aerospace Center (DLR) Project Management Agency

Matthias Woiwode von Gilardi matthias.woiwodevongilardi@dlr.de

Ulrike Kunze ulrike.kunze@dlr.de

